



BREXIT: THE EFFECT ON CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCIES

At first glance, it seems that cross-border insolvencies between the UK and EU are likely to become more time-consuming, complex and expensive post-Brexit. However, the situation may not be as dire as it first appears due to the existence of alternative legislation and exemptions to the EU legislation. As with other areas of law, when it comes to insolvencies much will depend on what steps are taken to maintain the current arrangements with the EU or whether they fall away altogether.

The main risk of Brexit relates to those involved in current, complex insolvencies which cross EU borders, have a British angle and are expected to last until after Brexit happens. However, advice can be taken on how best to limit the adverse effects of Brexit and give insolvency practitioners and creditors the best chance of recovery.

Where are we now?

Cross-border insolvency in England and Wales is currently governed by four main sources of law. These are discussed below:

EU legislation: The EU Insolvency Regulation (EUIR)

Strengths: This provides for obligatory EU wide recognition (except in the case of Denmark) as to the appropriate forum for proceedings, which is generally the Member State in which the debtor has its centre of main interest (COMI). Subsequent proceedings in other Member

States will be recognised as secondary proceedings and relate only to those assets located within the local jurisdiction. The liquidation of entities registered outside of the EU, but with their COMI in a Member State will also be regulated by the EUIR.

Limitations: The EUIR does not harmonise the substantive law of each Member State but encourages cooperation between countries. Individual insolvency regimes still exist across the EU. The EUIR does not apply to certain types of insolvency proceedings (e.g. insurance undertakings).

Following Brexit: The EUIR may no longer apply and EU insolvency proceedings may no longer be automatically recognised by the English courts. The EUIR is generally reviewed every five years. The UK is unlikely to be involved in this review process going forwards.

Beyond the EU legislation

The Cross Border Insolvency Regulations 2006 (give force to the Model Law adopted by the UN Commission on International Trade Law) (CBIR)

Strengths: The CBIR apply without any need for reciprocity. English Courts can recognise eligible foreign insolvency proceedings even if the foreign jurisdiction would not recognise proceedings commenced in England and Wales.

Limitations: The Model Law has only been adopted by 41 jurisdictions worldwide and the only EU Member States which are signatories to it are the UK, Slovenia, Greece, Romania and Poland. Each state that adopts the CBIR is free to modify the standard provisions, meaning that there are inconsistencies in the way states implement the CBIR.

Following Brexit: It is likely that Member States will be able to apply to the English Courts who will recognise EU insolvency proceedings without the need for reciprocity under the CBIR, although this recognition will not be automatic.

S.426 of the Insolvency Act 1986

Strengths: It is currently possible to apply to the English Court for assistance in relation to insolvencies commenced in designated countries, including many key offshore jurisdictions (e.g. the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, Guernsey and Hong Kong).

Limitations: The reach of this section is limited to those countries designated by the Secretary of State through a statutory instrument.

Following Brexit: It remains unclear whether s.426 will be extended to include EU Member States.

The common law

Strengths: At present, this is often pleaded in the alternative to the CBIR in recognition applications. In principle, the common law recognises the concept of universalism; namely that there should be one insolvency proceeding based in the country where the entity has its COMI and this proceeding should be recognised worldwide. The common law encourages co-operation between competent courts in which the foreign main proceedings have been commenced.

Limitations: Due to the three key legal frameworks mentioned above, the precise scope of the common law is unclear.

Following Brexit: It remains to be seen what role the common law will have post-Brexit.

What can Insolvency Practitioners do now to limit the adverse effects of Brexit?

Obtain legal advice as to whether you can rely on the local laws of the EU Member State in question pertaining to recognition or comity with other jurisdictions.

If the COMI is in another EU Member State and there are assets located in the UK then you are likely to be able to apply to the English courts for recognition under the CBIR (as currently drafted) following Brexit, even if the EU Member State is not a signatory to the CBIR.

Remember that certain types of insolvency proceedings and subject matters fall outside of the EUIR. It is likely that these areas will continue to be governed by UK domestic law post-Brexit.

It remains to be seen whether EU law will continue to govern insolvencies in England and Wales with an EU dimension during the anticipated transitional period.

For more information, please contact any of the following:



NOEL CAMPBELL

Partner, London
T +44 (0)20 7264 8272
E noel.campbell@hfw.com



RICK BROWN

Partner, London
T +44 (0)20 7264 8461
E rick.brown@hfw.com



DAVID HARBY

Senior Associate, London
T +44 (0)20 7264 8809
E david.harby@hfw.com

Published: February 2018

hfw.com/brexit

© 2018 Holman Fenwick Willan LLP. All rights reserved.

Whilst every care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of this information at the time of publication, the information is intended as guidance only. It should not be considered as legal advice.

Beirut Brussels Dubai Geneva Hong Kong Houston Jakarta Kuwait London Melbourne Paris Perth Piraeus Rio de Janeiro Riyadh São Paulo Shanghai Singapore Sydney