

In this week's Insurance Bulletin:

### 1. REGULATION AND LEGISLATION

**Brexit:** Chancellor responds to concerns raised by Treasury Committee about insurance contracts sold under passporting arrangements

**England and Wales:** The new Data Protection Bill

### 2. COURT CASES AND ARBITRATION

**England and Wales:** A tangled web – difficulties of demonstrating reinsurance arrangements after many years

### 3. MARKET DEVELOPMENTS

**UK:** Joint Excess Loss Committee publishes new wordings

## **4. HFW PUBLICATIONS AND EVENTS**

HFW Legal 500 UK 2017 rankings

**Bermuda:** HFW attending ILS Convergence 2017

**UK:** HFW speaking at Aviva TCS Conference





LUCINDA RUTTER ASSOCIATE

"Under the GDPR. it is a mandatory requirement for organisations who process personal data and monitor individuals on a large scale to have an independent. in-house Data Protection Officer (DPO) who cannot be instructed on how to carry out their tasks and must report to the top levels of management. The DPO is afforded new "protected status" whereby he/she cannot be dismissed or penalised for performing his/her responsibilities."

# 1. REGULATION AND LEGISLATION

**Brexit:** Chancellor responds to concerns raised by Treasury Committee about insurance contracts sold under passporting arrangements

In our September Edition 3 Bulletin<sup>1</sup>, we reported on a letter from the House of Commons Treasury Committee<sup>2</sup> regarding their concerns about the impact of Brexit on insurance contracts whose duration extends beyond the proposed date for the UK's withdrawal from the European Union. The concern is that, following Brexit, servicing insurance contracts sold before Brexit pursuant to reciprocal passporting rights within the EU will become illegal.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer, Philip Hammond, responded on 21 September 2017. His response, which was published on 11 October, will be unlikely to give the insurance industry or holders of affected policies much comfort. Mr Hammond admitted that the Government recognises that the withdrawal from the European Union could create legal uncertainty as to the status of existing cross-border insurance contracts.

Mr Hammond goes on to say that the Government is "ambitious in [its] aim to secure a bespoke and reciprocal arrangement that preserves the greatest possible market openness" as part of the Brexit negotiations, and that such ambition includes "the agreement of supervisory arrangements that are symmetrical, reciprocal and reliable", without giving an indication of what such arrangements might look like.

He finishes by saying that, whatever the ultimate outcome of negotiations, the Government would be seeking to agree an interim period to allow businesses to transition to the new arrangements, and to avoid a "cliffedge".

As with much of the Brexit process, the position is replete with uncertainty, and insurers will

no doubt continue to seek their own solutions in the event that the Government does not achieve its stated aims.

The full text of the letter can be found here

#### **RUPERT WARREN**

Senior Associate, London T +44 (0)20 7264 8478 E rupert.warren@hfw.com

## **England and Wales:** The new Data Protection Bill

As we have previously reported<sup>1</sup> UK data protection laws will be strengthened in favour of consumers under the new Data Protection Bill, which implements the EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

The regulations shift the burden onto the data controller to demonstrate why its processing of data should be allowed to continue. This has ramifications for various companies including those operating in the insurance industry in relation to the capture, use, storage and security of personal information. In particular, insurers may face considerable difficulties in respect of historical data "warehouses" used in sophisticated modelling tools.

The head of policy at Privitar, a privacy engineering firm commented "it's much harder for organisations to ignore a 'right to be forgotten' request or an objection to processing on the basis of legitimate interest".

Under the GDPR, it is a mandatory requirement for organisations who process personal data and monitor individuals on a large scale to have an independent, in-house Data Protection Officer (DPO) who cannot be instructed on how to carry out their tasks and must report to the top levels of management. The DPO is afforded new "protected status" whereby he/she cannot be dismissed or penalised for performing his/her responsibilities.

In addition, the UK's Information Commissioner's Office may now impose increased fines of up to £17

http://www.hfw.com/downloads/HFW-Insurance-Bulletin-September-2017-Edition-3.pdf

<sup>2</sup> http://www.parliament.uk/documents/commonscommittees/treasury/Morgan-to-Hammond-Brexit%20-Insurance-Contracts-140917.pdf

http://www.hfw.com/Insurance-Bulletin-13-January-2017

million or 4% of global turnover for serious breaches of data protection.

The new Data Protection Bill will come into force in May 2018.

For further detail, please see the HFW Briefing "All Change - Are you ready for the EU General Data Protection Regulation?"<sup>2</sup>

#### **LUCINDA RUTTER**

Associate, London T +44 (0) 20 7264 8226 E lucinda.rutter@hfw.com

## 2. COURT CASES AND ARBITRATION

**England and Wales:** A tangled web - difficulties of demonstrating reinsurance arrangements after many years

This case concerned the reinsurance arrangements for multiple layers of excess liability umbrella insurance coverage written from 1981 to 1984. The claimant insurer argued that it had four separate facultative reinsurance contracts obtained through brokers under underwriting treaty pool arrangements, whereby the defendant fronted the reinsurance which was then retroceded out to various pools. However, the insurer had no documentary evidence of the reinsurance arrangements and the defendant put it to proof as to its liability. The insurer's reply asked the court to infer from the retrocession arrangements that the defendant must have written the reinsurance.

Six weeks before trial, the insurer sought to amend its particulars of claim to allege that the defendant had written 100% of the risks under a 1984/5 facility which had been retroceded to a 1984/5 pool. In 1998 the defendant had agreed that the alleged reinsurance contracts could be transferred to that facility.

The defendant argued that this introduced a new claim which was in fact time barred, did not arise out of the pleaded facts and would put the trial date in jeopardy. The claimant

denied this despite having used the wording "Further or alternatively..." to introduce the amendment. It submitted that it was simply adding further factual matters in support of its case that the court should infer that defendant was liable under the four separate facultative reinsurance contracts.

The court did not give permission for the amendment on the basis that on the claimant's own case it was unnecessary and it might prejudice the defendant in having to meet a new case so close to trial. The claimant would however be able to rely on the documents identified in the proposed amendment in support of its primary case.

This case illustrates the difficulty in the run-off sphere of evidencing reinsurance arrangements many years after they were made. With today's electronic records, it is easier to trace the reinsurance and retrocession position, but with older contracts this issue still arises more often than insurers would like.

#### **REBECCA HUGGINS**

Professional Support Lawyer, London **T** +44 (0)20 7264 8120 **E** rebecca.huggins@hfw.com

### 3. MARKET DEVELOPMENTS

**UK:** Joint Excess Loss Committee publishes new wordings

The LMA has this week released the new Joint Excess Loss Committee wordings which replace CL400, following a lengthy project of consultation and drafting. The revised clauses will be available for use with effect from 1 January 2018 and will be published on the Joint Excess Loss Committee page of the Lloyd's Market Association website and in the Lloyd's Wordings Repository.



REBECCA HUGGINS
PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT LAWYER

"This case illustrates the difficulty in the run-off sphere of evidencing reinsurance arrangements many years after they were made. With today's electronic records, it is easier to trace the reinsurance and retrocession position, but with older contracts this issue still arises more often than insurers would like."

<sup>2</sup> http://www.hfw.com/downloads/HFW-All-Change-EU-General-Data-Protection-Regulation-September-2017.pdf

## 4. HFW PUBLICATIONS AND EVENTS

## HFW Legal 500 rankings

We are delighted to announce our rankings in the new edition of the Legal 500:

- Insurance and reinsurance Tier 2
- Insurance litigation: for policyholders Tier 3
- Corporate and regulatory Tier 2
- Professional negligence- Tier 3

Congratulations to **Alison Proctor** and **Edward Rushton** who were named as "Next Generation Lawyers"

**Bermuda:** HFW attends ILS Convergence 2017

Partner **Richard Spiller** has been at the ILS Convergence in Bermuda on 18 and 19 October.

**UK:** HFW speaking at the Aviva TCS Conference

On 26 October Partner **Christopher Foster** is speaking at the Aviva TCS Conference on "Major Losses – Handling Issues and Enterprise Act 2016 - Late Payment of Claims".

HFW has over 450 lawyers working in offices across Australia, Asia, the Middle East, Europe and the Americas. For further information about our Insurance/reinsurance capabilities, please visit http://www.hfw.com/Insurance-Reinsurance-Sectors







### hfw.com

### © 2017 Holman Fenwick Willan LLP. All rights reserved.

Whilst every care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of this information at the time of publication, the information is intended as guidance only. It should not be considered as legal advice. Holman Fenwick Willan LLP is the Data Controller for any data that it holds about you. To correct your personal details or change your mailing preferences please contact Souhir Jemai on +44 (0)20 7264 8415 or email souhir.jemai@hfw.com